

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 ANTHONY WAYNE JOHNSON. Jr.,
12 CDCR #F-58411,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.
15

16 M. GAINS, et al.,
17

18 Defendants.
19
20

Civil No. 09-2868 DMS (AJB)

ORDER:

**(1) DISMISSING CIVIL ACTION
AS DUPLICATIVE PURSUANT TO
28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1); and**

**(2) DENYING MOTION TO
PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*
AS MOOT**

[Doc. No. 2]

21 Anthony Wayne Johnson, Jr. ("Plaintiff"), currently incarcerated at Salinas Valley State
22 Prison located in Soledad, California, and proceeding pro se, has submitted a civil rights
23 Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983.

24 Plaintiff has not prepaid the \$350 filing fee mandated by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a); instead,
25 he has filed a Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)
26 [Doc. No 2].

27 ///

28 ///

1 **I. Sua Sponte Screening Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)**

2 The Prison Litigation Reform Act (“PLRA”), 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, obligates the Court to
 3 review complaints filed by anyone “incarcerated or detained in any facility who is accused of,
 4 sentenced for, or adjudicated delinquent for, violations of criminal law or the terms or conditions
 5 of parole, probation, pretrial release, or diversionary program,” “as soon as practicable after
 6 docketing” and regardless of whether the prisoner prepays filing fees or moves to proceed IFP.
 7 See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), (c). The Court must sua sponte dismiss prisoner complaints, or any
 8 portions thereof, which are frivolous, malicious, or fail to state a claim upon which relief may
 9 be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b); *Resnick v. Hayes*, 213 F.3d 443, 446-47 (9th Cir. 2000).

10 Plaintiff’s instant Complaint is subject to sua sponte dismissal pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
 11 § 1915A(b)(1) because it appears to be duplicative of a case Plaintiff is currently litigating.
 12 Plaintiff’s Complaint contains identical claims and defendants that are found in *Johnson v.*
 13 *Gains, et al.*, S.D. Cal. Civil Case No. 09cv1312 LAB (POR). A court “may take notice of
 14 proceedings in other courts, both within and without the federal judicial system, if those
 15 proceedings have a direct relation to matters at issue.” *United States ex rel. Robinson Rancheria*
 16 *Citizens Council v. Borneo, Inc.*, 971 F.2d 244, 248 (9th Cir. 1992).

17 A prisoner’s complaint is considered frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1) if it
 18 “merely repeats pending or previously litigated claims.” *Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103,
 19 1105 n.2 (9th Cir. 1995) (construing former 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d)) (citations and internal
 20 quotations omitted). Because Plaintiff is already litigating the same claims presented in the
 21 instant action in *Johnson v. Gains, et al.*, S.D. Cal. Civil Case No. 09cv1312 LAB (POR), the
 22 Court hereby **DISMISSES** Civil Case No. 09cv2868 DMS (AJB) pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
 23 § 1915A(b)(1). See *Cato*, 70 F.3d at 1105 n.2; *Resnick*, 213 F.3d at 446 n.1.

24 **II. Conclusion and Order**


25 Good cause appearing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

26 Plaintiff’s Complaint in Civil Case No. 09cv2868 DMS (AJB) is **DISMISSED** as
 27 frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1). Plaintiff’s Motion to Proceed IFP is **DENIED**
 28 as moot.

1 The Clerk shall close the file.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3
4 DATED: January 6, 2010



5
6 HON. DANA M. SABRAW
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28